

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,561	08/26/2003		Kenzo Matsumoto	JCLA11669	5544
7590 08/25/2004			EXAMINER		
J.C. Patents			JIANG, CHEN WEN		
Suite 250	;				
4 Venture				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Irvine, CA 92	2618			3744	
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/649,561	MATSUMOTO ET AL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Chen-Wen Jiang	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (; eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed (i0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26 August 2003.						
· _ ·	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 August 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	fare: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objective or the drawing(s) be held in abeyance orrection is required if the drawing(s)	s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Be	ments have been received. ments have been received in App priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/649,561 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-20, drawn to refrigerant cycling device, classified in class 62, subclass
   470.
- II. Claims 21-26, drawn to compressor, classified in class 418, subclass 60.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as claimed does not require accumulator, supporting member or partition plate. The subcombination has separate utility such as different cycling device as disclosed in the different figures.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,561 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

5. Should Applicant elect the Group I combination claims, the following election of species is required.

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I-A: Fig.2

Species I-B: Fig.4

Species I-C: Fig.5

Species I-D: Fig.6

Species I-E: Fig.7

Species I-F: Fig.8

Species I-G: Fig.11

7. Should Applicant elect the Group II subcombination claims, the following election of species is required.

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species II-A: compressor with two rotary compression components, rollers formed in the cylinders and oil hole in the rotational shaft.

Species II-B: compressor with a rotary compression component, an oil accumulator and a return passage.

Species II-C: compressor with two rotary compression components, an oil accumulator and a return passage.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,561 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

9. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 3744

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (703) 308-0275. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C-)-C

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner